

## Baty Case Victory For Petitioner

July 07, 2022

2022-6

In issues 2022-2 and 2022-4, we discussed a Tax Court case *Baty v. Comm'r*. The case involved a GRAT in which the IRS contended that (i) a zeroed-out GRAT was invalidated due to a failure to disclose relevant facts concerning its execution and (ii) the grantor was liable for an IRC Sec. 6662 penalty of over \$7 million.

Petitioner filed a motion for summary judgment on both issues. The IRS has, in effect, conceded both issues and the case is now closed.

\* \* \*

---

**Practical Drafting®** is published by Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP. It is intended to provide information and guidance with respect to specific topics of interest to trust and estate lawyers and other professionals practicing in the field. It is not intended to provide individualized legal or other professional advice, and should not be used as a substitute therefor. Neither the authors, the editorial staff nor the publisher of **Practical Drafting®** assumes any liability for the accuracy of its contents.

The statements in this issue cannot be used by any taxpayer to avoid tax penalties. The previous sentence is inserted pursuant to U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice.

**Practical Drafting®** may not be reproduced without the express permission of the publisher. For questions regarding subscriptions, please contact us at [practicaldrafting@clm.com](mailto:practicaldrafting@clm.com).

### related professionals

Richard B. Covey / Senior Counsel  
D 212-732-3200  
[covey@clm.com](mailto:covey@clm.com)

Jerome J. Caulfield / Partner  
D 212 238-8809  
[caulfield@clm.com](mailto:caulfield@clm.com)

---